

Date: Sun, 2 Oct 94 04:30:10 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: List  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #474  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Sun, 2 Oct 94                      Volume 94 : Issue 474

Today's Topics:

900mhz phone questions.  
Courtesy In Amateur Radio

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: Sat, 1 Oct 1994 15:46:28 GMT  
From: milcom@netcom.com (wayne roberts)  
Subject: 900mhz phone questions.

Ed Ellers (edellers@delphi.com) wrote:

:  
: >3) Buy or make a 900Mhz amplifier for the base unit. Are these or the  
: > plans for them available ??  
:  
: Maybe, but again the FCC will crucify you for using one.

why? when hams are allowed to run 1500w max. 902-928Mhz is a ham band.  
As long as your following part97 - which includes \_identification\_ - then  
you should be legit. Correct me if 900mhz phones radiate outside the  
902-928mhz band.

-----  
Date: Sat, 1 Oct 94 10:15:00 -0500  
From: bob.stanton@exchange.com (Bob Stanton)  
Subject: Courtesy In Amateur Radio

From: bob.stanton@exchange.com  
To : sefarlow@crl.com  
Subj: Re: Courtesy In Amateur Radio

S>I am quickly getting fed up with HF. It seems bandwidth is eaten up by  
>nets, contests, or folks just ragchewing on and on and not letting anyone  
>else get a word in. I am talking specifically about 7245 and 3870 mHz.  
>These folks seem to want to muscle out everyone by using amps when they  
>probably don't need them. Don't FCC regulations require use of the minimum  
>amount of power to maintain communications?  
>A lot of the fun of HF seems to be going away because of numerous nets  
>and contests.

All this being done by those fine amateurs with coded  
licenses??? I thought the blame for ruining ham radio was the "No-code  
Technicians". Maybe I won't get a Technician Plus license, we don't  
seem to have problems like this on 2M.

73 all.

de Bob KD4ARD

\* QMPro 1.0 94-6871 \* Eagles may fly but weasels aren't sucked into jets

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #474

\*\*\*\*\*